

## **Appendix 2**

### **Representation 1 – Priory Road**

My wife and I object most strongly to the proposal as our immediate neighbours have two transit vans which are parked in the road and a SUV vehicle which for the last five months while having an extension built have been double parking on the corner. The neighbour the opposite side has had an old trailer parked on the road which has been there since last Christmas.

A neighbour further up the road runs a garage service from his mothers house and frequently has Euro tank vans parked in the roads, surely you should sort these things before putting a blanket ban on everybody else.

We also get a lot of the school staff parking in the road in awkward positions and mothers at drop off and pick up hours. The council have created a lot of the problems by amalgamating the schools.

Can you please suggest where we are supposed to park. While I'm at it I might as well mention that when the young lady drives the refuse wagon she manages to get through okay, get a male driver and its got to be a smaller wagon the next day.!!

### **Representation 2 – Priory Road**

I live at -- priory rd, I have a drive way so my cars are park off the road, I can understand why you are doing the restrictions on the verges, but it is going to cause parking problems in the road, many of my neighbours have 2 and 3 cars per household, and at weekends and evenings there is no parking in the road, that's the reason people park on the verge. I have a drop kerb and on a daily basis it is blocked and I have raised this issue many times, ----- and I need access at all times to my drive. I have even come home early hours of the morning from the hospital to find my drive blocked, as I have said I have raised this issue but nothing has ever been done.

### **Representation 3 – Priory Road**

A relief to hear it is not double yellow lines.

Thank you for the update and I totally agree the verges should be protected.

Sadly it is not the residents parking on them, but seems to be normal practice by those collecting their children from the infant/junior school.

Therefore my objection on this subject is irrelevant as it was based around a misunderstanding of it being a no parking zone with double yellows.

Thank you again for responding.

#### **Representation 4 – Priory Road**

Thank you for your response. I had got it wrong thinking you were putting yellow lines on road. I am not against parking on verges so you can disregard my earlier letter

#### **Representation 5 – Deanfield Close**

Further to your letter to Residents Dated 15th July 2020, I would like to make the following comments and concerns.

The vehicles that will be affected by your proposal are basically the over flow from Amy Gardens, and commercial vehicles which are not permitted to park in the development.

Your action will cause these vehicles to park further up Deanfield Close, which is a very narrow road so pavements will be obstructed and access for emergency and utility vehicles will be a major problem.

I trust that all of these problems have been carefully considered before you have taken this decision.

#### **Representation 6 – all areas**

Please find details below of Hound Parish Councils response to: Permanent TRO - Bursledon, Hamble & Hound (Amendment No 10) Order 2020.

Members discussed the TRO's put forward and agreed effective enforcement backup needed to be in place.