

| The Borough of Eastleigh (Hedge End, West End & Botley) (Amendment No 15) Order 2022 | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|--|
| Part of scheme representation relates to | Date received | Objects/Supports | Within objection period (25/03 - 15/04 2022) |
| Bedford Close/Crusader Road | 25/03/2022 | Support/Comment | YES |
| Bedford Close | 31/03/2022 | Objects | YES |
| Bedford Close | 10/04/2022 | Objects | YES |
| Bedford Close | | | |
| Bedford Close | 13/04/2022 | Objects | YES |
| Bedford Close | 29/03/2022 | Objects | YES |
| | | | |
| Berry Close | 28/03/2022 | Comment | YES |
| Berry Close | 26/04/2022 | Objects | NO |
| Berry Close | 25/04/2022 | Objects | NO |
| Berry Close/Crusader Road | 24/03 & 25/03 | Comment | YES |
| Berry Close | 11/04/2022 | Objects | YES |
| Berry Close | 07/04/2022 | Comment | YES |
| Berry Close | 05/04/2022 | Comment | YES |
| Berry Close | 30/03/2022 | Comment | YES |
| | | | |
| Botley Road (Spur) | 31/03/2022 | Objects | YES |
| | | | |
| Hemdean Gardens | 24/03/2022 | Comment | YES |
| Hemdean Gardens | 19/04/2022 | Supports | NO |
| Hemdean Gardens/Upper New Rd | Phone Call 28/03 | Comment | YES |
| Hemdean Gardens/Upper New Rd | 06/04/2022 | | YES |
| | | | |
| West Road | 25/03/2022 | Support | YES |
| West Road | 27/03/2022 | Support | YES |
| | | | |
| Westward Road | 29/03/2022 | Objects | YES |
| | | | |
| Not given | 12/03/2022 | Objects | YES |
| | | | |

THE BOROUGH OF EASTLEIGH (HEDGE END, WEST END AND BOTLEY) (AMENDMENT NO. 15) ORDER 2022 – CONSULTATION RESPONSES

1.

After reading through the notice that has been placed in Bedford Close, Hedge End, SO30 OPS, I am writing to you with a few concerns and suggestions about the new TRO.

Double yellow lines in front of No.6 & 7, and one car space by the lay by, would mean less parking for the residents.

We agree with the 'no waiting at any time' in the access gap between No.7 and No.9 Bedford Close garages and wondered if this could also be at the access point between No.26 and No.27 Bedford Close for those garages, as parents use it and the private garages as a turning point and also a parking place. Other residents have said that parents are also parking in the private garage space for

numbers 1 to 19 of Bedford Close and when asked to move by the residents and not to park here again, the parents are reluctant to do so.

The residents do appreciate, that living near a school has these problems but the volume of traffic using Bedford Close has increased a lot over the last 12 months, where frustration of unable to park or get near our properties has grown. Also, where there are residents who are ill and elderly, the reactions of parents are unnecessary and also the 'dings' in their vehicles.

Once these new regulations 'might' be implemented, the only way they would work, is to have traffic wardens walk the route regularly, then hopefully the parents would understand what is being asked of them. If this Close was part of a neighbourhood watch scheme, would the residents have more 'say' in what is happening?

Plus, the safety of the children is a concern, with the volume of traffic and speed that people drive in and out, I have witnessed a few near misses. Having lived here for 22 years and I worked at FJS for 15 years, I do understand how hard it is on both sides of this situation but I felt I had to voice my concerns,

There are two ideas we have had, which we know have been talked about in the past but thought I would air them again:

A park and stride scheme, like they use at Kings Copse School but where parents park is the obstacle

or

Make it a through road, school lane into Sovereign Drive, so it would be one way from Hobb Lane and it would be policed by Head Teacher/Deputy Head/a member of SLT, when it is drop off or pick up to ensure a flow of traffic and might help with no 'near misses'. Though this might have opposition from the residents in Sovereign Drive.

We understand there are so many issues,

Many thanks

2.

I write to object proposals to impose no waiting.

Whilst I accept that this area becomes busy around the opening and closing times of the Freegrounds Junior school, I do not believe there is any danger to other traffic or pedestrians over and above any other road in Hedge End at this time of the day. Neither do I believe, or have I ever witnessed any obstruction for vehicular traffic.

However what I HAVE witnessed are several hostile residents from Bedford Close who clearly are unhappy with parents legally parking on a public road to collect and drop off children from the local school which I have found to be unacceptable. An example only yesterday where gentleman told me I could not turn around in the (not private) parking area and that his car had been damaged by 'the school lot'!

I am personally going to find any such proposals implemented an incredible inconvenience. I live in a new build estate by junction 8 of the motorway (yet I am in catchment) and it will take me over 30 minutes to walk my daughter to the school. That's an hour each way for me. I am a full time working mum and I simply do not have time for such a walking commute as this when i have to start work before 9am. Imposing these parking restrictions means I, and a few others in my position, will not have anywhere close to park. What do you propose?!

I am fairly sure that those residents adamant on having these parking restrictions will pressure and ensure these proposals are enforced despite anyone's objections. However, could the council work with the school to understand who has to travel over a certain distance so that they could be issued with a permit should they wish, perhaps? Or, maybe the school could do more to stagger their start (AND FINISH) times, to ease the pressure a but more. I just think there has to be a better way than a blanket no waiting for everyone, which will undoubtedly inconvenience the residence themselves surely?! Thank you for your consideration and await your final decision.

3.

I would like to log my objection to part of the planned road markings within Bedford Close: the no waiting restriction outside of number 6 and number 7 and the ones being proposed for the corner of our shared car park entrance under the trees. I have lived at number x Bedford Close for over 22 years and cars have always parked in these areas without issue; no injuries to anyone and we have always been able to access the private car park. I therefore cannot see why these areas are being earmarked for no waiting when nothing has changed in the close in this area to warrant this.

As mentioned above I have lived at x Bedford Close for over 2 decades and have always been able to park outside of my own property (number 6). I have 2 adult children who still live at home (meaning we have 3 cars) and the no waiting restriction you are proposing outside of nos 6 & 7 will take away the spaces we predominantly use on a daily basis. There is one space in the private parking area that we can sometimes utilise (shared area), otherwise there are no designated spaces within the close we are able to use. We are the only house (on the left of the road) without a drive and I feel we are now being penalised because of this, being a single parent has meant I have not been in a position to be able to get a drive put in and until these proposed new road markings this has never been a major issue. I obviously now will have no choice but to look into the possibility of being able to get the curb dropped. (Hence, I would not want no waiting restrictions in place if that were the case either). The proposals will force more residents to have to seek alternative parking out on Sovereign Drive which is often full of an evening/weekend anyway, if that is the case then where do you propose we should go? I have a young grandson and an elderly mother with a heart condition who are over every weekend so being able to park outside/close to my house is of vital importance to me. If these restrictions were in place when I bought the property then fair enough but to take away something that has been available to me for over 20 years does not seem right.

I made a choice to live near the school, just like everyone who lives in the close, the school was there before I moved in, the increase in traffic at school drop off and pick up times again is not new, so why would you be looking to make such changes now reducing parking spaces available to residents at a time when we all know there are more cars around than in previous times?

I feel that due to the short sightedness of a few and the inconvenience of an increase in the number of cars being in the area for around 30 mins per day (during term time only) these additional parking measures are being proposed which yes, will have an impact on parents on the school run for that small part of their day but will mainly impact the residents who live in the Close, all day, every single

day of the year. You are taking away parking for my property specifically and the additional spaces which are used by residents and visitors to our homes.

It is likely that this proposal will then force the additional (non-residents) cars into the private parking area which is shared ownership between the properties who use it, the surface of this is already damaged so any extra use needs to be avoided not encouraged. The focus of your Order has been on this area of the close but if you were going to treat everyone fairly why would you not be putting markings near the other private parking areas or over the other side of the close outside of residents housing? I am not saying this should happen for one minute but it just feels like the plans have not been thought through and little or no consideration has been given to the residents who live here; being the one with no drive this proposal will have the most significant impact to me and my family if it goes ahead. If I am left with no parking I will have to consider moving but then again having no parking for the property will reduce the value of the house.

In conclusion, for the above reasons I very strongly oppose the no waiting restrictions outside of number 6 and number 7 and the corner of the shared car park entrance under the trees.

Kind regards

4.

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to express my concerns with the planning to put double yellow lines within Bedford Close. I have seen the plans and the maps in which show where they new parking restrictions are going to go. I understand that school pick up time around this area can cause a lot of traffic, however this has always been the case. I live in one of the houses where you are planning to put the double yellow lines in front of. In fact the only people this is going to affect hugely is my house. We park both of our cars on this bit of road directly outside out house – and have done for the 22 years living here. As a family with not enough spare money, we do not have the funds to put a drive way in. This means that if double yellow lines are introduced to this section of road, we will have no where to park our cars for our own home which just isn't reasonable, fair or needed. We also have a baby in the family who stays with us each week – having to park on the main road of else where other than directly outside our house is extremely dangerous now he is able to walk.

I am writing to you for you to reconsider these plans for the parking restrictions and double yellow lines. These restrictions will really affect us and our home life as we will have no where safe and near our home to park our cars. Personally, I don't trust the area and do not wish to park my car out of the sight of where I live.

This bit of road where you are planning to change the parking requirements, has no real problems with school pick up and our cars being parked there cause no danger whatsoever.

With this in mind, please rethink the plan and have some thought into the home owners directly around this section.

5.

I am writing to log my objection to part of the road markings being planned for Bedford Close: the no waiting restriction outside of number 6 and number 7 and the ones being proposed for the corner of our shared car park entrance under the trees.

I live at x Bedford Close and parking can sometimes be difficult now in the evenings and weekends when all residents are home but would be impossible when you effectively take away another 4

spaces which when used by careful/thoughtful residents of the Close do not cause any issues to pedestrians or access to the private car park. We have all lived with these spaces being used for years with no incidents. The additional school run traffic which may cause an issue is very short lived for around 20/30 mins per day during the school term time only but that should not mean that the people who live in the Close then need to pay the price for this, 24 hours a day, every day of the year.

I have my young son every weekend and need to be able park close to home. The idea of having no waiting restrictions outside of our home when my family have lived here for over 20 years and been able to park there without causing any problems all of that time doesn't make much sense and seems a little excessive.

My mother is now having to work from home (due to changes brought about by COVID) so her car is parked outside of x Bedford Close the majority of the time, day and night. This proposal has brought about much anxiety for our family, being the only house on the left of the Close without a drive these proposals impact us directly whereas other properties in our row all still have parking available, your proposal takes this away from us.

We therefore would be very grateful if you could reconsider this part of your proposal.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

6.

A resident called regarding the yellow lines which the council are planning on installing on Bedford Close. Doesn't like the idea along with lots of other residents in the Close mainly because the main road would be used to drop and pick children up and she feels this is unsafe as it's a busy main road.

7.

Dear council,

In terms of parking, what about residents parking? I live at number x Berry Close and with these proposed changes you will reduce parking in what is already crowded place to park. These are 4 houses that have no driveways, numbers 18, 19, 20 and 21.

Will the 4 parking bays opposite these houses be allocated parking to the properties.

> As these proposed changes will affect myself I would like for the council to consider an alternative solution.

>

> I believe the proposals are excessive, however something does need to be done. As a resident I struggle to get in the close on occasions due to the reasons below.

>

> The area of berry close consists of houses with driveways for multiple vehicles, houses without driveways or allocated parking and maisonettes with allocated parking. I live in one of the properties with no allocated parking or driveway. So this proposal will have a direct effect on me and my husband.

>

> Berry Close is a small cul de sac and the proposed changes will only make current issues with vehicle parking worse as people will park further out causing congestion on the outer limits. I do believe a different solution should be considered.

>

> Most of the time of the day the residents of Berry Close are at work and the area does not cause any issues. The issues with congestion and obstruction happen at school drop off and school collection. At these times the Close is full of cars that are collecting children during the school run and can last up to 2 hours each time. The cars park half on the road and pathways and on the grassed areas. I have raised this with the school many times but as it's a public road they can not enforce any thing. This then causes an obstruction to other traffic trying to get into Berry Close.

>

> The other main issue is the parking of the residents who live in the maisonettes. These maisonettes have allocated parking but they mostly park out side the maisonettes causing this part of the road to be made smaller and difficult to pass.

>

> My solution to the problems would be to make Berry Close a Permit Parking area. This will stop obstructions from school pick up and force the residents in the maisonettes to use their privately owned parking spaces. Freeing up the close. Residents like myself will be able to park near our properties.

>

> Personally for my household I have a disabled husband with mobility constraints who needs to park close to our home and the proposal will make it very difficult for him if he can not park near his home.

>

> Please can you put this forward as an alternative to the proposal.

>

> Kindest Regards

8.

I write to you in connection with the proposed changes to adding double yellows in Crusader Road / Berry Close junction

The proposed addition of lines to the South side of the Crusader Road/Berry Close junction is, in my opinion, over the top and going to cause more problems for the residents than it solves. The proposal removes important parking space for the local residents, which isn't necessary to do to achieve your objective of allowing safe and clear passage for traffic and pedestrians. I feel only the North side is necessary and when adhered to, there is no impedance to traffic flow or safety in the road. A number of houses immediate to this junction rely on this space for parking and the lines seem to continue beyond the immediate corner and will remove the space I currently use to park my vehicle (when available) as the line proceeds down Crusader Road much further than the curvature of the corner and to the front of my property. The line also continues into Berry Road much further than it needs to and will remove legitimate parking spaces there. I have (crudely) attempt to mark on the plans in purple these areas.

Secondly, I feel these plans don't consider the other places where I feel there SHOULD be double yellows to prevent traffic and are the actual cause of traffic problems seen in the road. In particular:

a) Opposite the junction on the East side of Crusader road, cars often park and stop traffic (including the bin lorry) from access. This is a problem day and night.

b) The South side of Berry Close, to the side of Nos 31/30, particularly at drop off/pickup times with parents parking on the road opposite to where the residents cars are parked and blocking the

access. Parents also are parking on the kerb here, blocking the pavement for pedestrians.

c) Continuing along Berry Close from the proposed North side line

The above areas are marked in Green below, where I feel there should be a no-waiting restriction added.



An example of parking that often blocks Crusader due to the lack of these lines – Note cars can

squeeze through here, but only because the pavement is now impeded:



An example of parking working fine along the south side of the Berry Close junction:



An example of problematic parking in Berry Close this will not solve. Note the Blue mini on the pavement and limited passing space for road traffic:



I urge the council to reconsider it's plans for double yellow lines here. It feels like someone has reported the problem, but the person in charge of fixing it hasn't actually looked for themselves at the road during busy periods and then during the normal day to make an informed decision about the changes. I feel the changes proposed are going to negatively impact my house value due to

reduced legitimate and safe parking availability in the area, and cause the residents more grief than the original problem it is attempting to solve, without fixing the REAL issues with cars blocking access.

Many thanks for taking the time to read this and I look forward to a reply.

Best regards,

9.

Have lived here for nearly 12 years, in that time there have been a few minor scratch incidents but only through poor driving and no more than you would get in the car park by the Co-op. No pedestrian has been involved in a collision with a vehicle.

There are two short periods of time when traffic is busiest, dropping off and picking up from the school. In the main both times are after and before most residents who work have left or not returned.

Drivers move to facilitate others in their comings and goings.

As far as aware major deliveries timed after or before the busiest times including refuse collections.

The proposal is in effect removing up to 12 parking space from the upper end of the close!

With regard to one of the options you proffered:-

A limited time when the parking restrictions were to be enforced rather than no parking at any time:

This I cannot see working as it would mean that some residents having to move their cars; and if the area as a whole had same where would they re-park? And, with the inconvenience caused do not think this would go down well at all.

While I agree with the proposal on the entrance to the close, to match the "highway code" length requirements; there are two other sections that should potentially be amended as they would take out 4 of the 6 currently used spaces; the rest should be dropped.

The section alongside of number 30/31 to be reduced in length to finish opposite the right hand side of the walkway next to number 4, this would maintain the 2 of the 4 parking spaces in front of the flats.

The section on far side of the road in front of the flats; to start from the bush at number 21 to the last of the wooden posts, maintaining another two parking spaces.

I have been informed by a local estate company that highly restrictive parking could reduce the value of properties be as much as 5 - 6%, as it would reduce the number of potential buyers / renters; acting as an inhibitor for family / friends visiting etc. So as far as "no parking at any time" option this must be dropped!

I also note that there are NO disabled parking bay proposals with-in the online plan.

There are as far as I am aware four eligible holders, including three that currently have blue badge parking permits.

Would it not be a good time, even if any or no yellow line going in to include at least 2 bays?

10.

Good morning,

I was just emailing to comment on the proposed TRO above. I was wondering if the amount of spaces needed for on road parking has been taken into account with the no waiting restrictions being implemented in Berry Close and Crusader Road? There is a large amount of on road parking as garages are old and no longer fit for purpose as cars have got bigger and wider and houses only having one parking space or garage and a lot of households having two cars now so needing to put one on the road.

With the cars in berry close there will be the potential for a knock on effect into Crusader Road and Sovereign Drive as they will not use their garages if they even have garages and will then park on the road out the front of our houses in Crusader Road or potentially even parking behind their gardens blocking off our garages which has happened before.

That in turn will spill crusader road parking over onto Sovereign Drive. The removal of some of the double yellow lines in Sovereign Drive would add an additional space or two but surely this will not be enough to accommodate the losses of space with the proposed no waiting restrictions and so a lot of people will end up with nowhere to park their cars outside of their own house.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my comment,

Best wishes,

11.

As a resident of Berry Close in Hedge End for the last 14 years I would like to oppose the suggested 'no waiting at any time' proposed changes.

This will cause major problems and upset for many residents whose block garages are not fit for purpose with cars now being wider making it impossible to put their car in. These residents have no option but to park on the road or in the lay-by. Removing the ability to do this will cause major problems for these residents.

I agree that there should be a restriction at the entry to Berry Close for a number of meters, as at times (school pick up/drop off) this can be dangerous and cause a blockage where by an emergency vehicle would not be able to enter the close.

I would propose double yellow lines at the entry to the close on either one or two sides up-to the first set of garage blocks on the left.

I would also propose a solid white line at the foot of everyone's driveways to stop/deter parents from parking across driveways at school pickup.

This will still allow residents the freedom to park on the road who need to along with visitors to residents.

12.

To whom it may concern.

I am emailing you with regards to the proposed no waiting at any time changes in Berry Close Hedge End. I agree with the no parking on both corners to the entrance of Berry Close off Crusader road. However once in the close the current parking situation is already very limited for residents. The existing parking habits of the residents does not cause any issues for access to private driveways , the rear residents car park/ garages or to private or rented properties.

The only times there are any access issues is when the local school children are dropped off and picked up as some of the parking is very poor restricting movement in and around the close. Actions of visitors to the close and surrounding areas should not dictate any changes to the parking which will affect the residents of the close.

This estate was built in the 1970's when most properties would generally have one car. Each property was designed with either an allocated space in the car park, a driveway or a garage. The majority of these properties now have two cars meaning parking within the close and surrounding roads is already very restricted. The no waiting proposals will cause massive problems for residents of Berry Close. As I look out of my window now there are 8 cars parked in the proposed no waiting zone that will have nowhere to park. These cars are not blocking the road for residents or emergency services. This is a close and not a through road!

The majority of these vehicles are not there during weekdays between the hours of 9 to 5 and as a result would not cause issues for council access to cut grass, clearing of drains, road sweeping or refuse collection.

Is it possible to enlarge the existing parking bay to allow a further two or three cars to park safely off the road?

Can residents be issued with parking permits to allow them to park within the close and make it a resident only parking area?

I have attached a photo of your plans with some additional notes for your reference.



I very much look forward to your response.

13.

Our concerns are difficulties, and potential accident risks, entering and exiting Berry Close, due to parking, especially on the corner of the road. Also on many occasions emergency vehicles would be unable to pass into the close.

The school run is a difficult time for residents of Berry Close, when there can be double parking, and abuse of driveways, and grass verges, and a lot of noise. We would strongly welcome restricted parking during this time.

However we would be disappointed to see complete double parking restrictions throughout the close all the time. Many residents do not have driveways. For those of us with driveways this would mean we could not have visitors park outside our homes, or vacate our driveways on a temporary basis for access to our home for tradesmen, ambulances etc.

Therefore our conclusion is that we would value some restrictions as identified in this email, but still want to be able to park outside our own homes. It is not fair if we are penalised for the misfortune to live near a school.

Thank you for reading this email, please can you acknowledge you have received it ok, and that it has gone to the right source.

Further to the above, I would add further concern where all the cars will park who reside in the close, and whether that could lead to tensions, we have an outer driveway, could it be that folks may park there blocking us in? Also I do feel sympathy for folks coming home from work etc and unable to park. Many residents have more than one car?

14.

I write with regards the proposed no waiting restrictions for Berry Close, Hedge End
As a resident I welcome the restrictions as it is often quite difficult, if not impossible to access my driveway when leaving or returning to my address.

The main problem with non-residential parking in Berry Close is created by the parents dropping off and later collecting their children from the nearby Freegrounds Infant and Junior Schools primarily between 0830-0900 and again at 1445-1545.

Outside of these hours, and on non-school days, parking does not present any problem with access. On this basis, you may wish to consider a timed restriction of 0800-0900 and 1430-1600 Monday to Friday, as outside of these hours, access into Berry Close is clear (but restrictions at the mouth of the junction with Crusader Road should be applied 24/7).

By applying parking restrictions between limited times would also allow Parking Wardens to effectively enforce the regulations, something which I do not believe will be done outside of normal working hours.

I note from both the Schedule and the Drawing that there are no proposed restrictions on either the North or South sides of Berry Close at the East end of the road, apart from the short section each side when entering from Crusader Road. Allowing unrestricted parking in this area of Berry Close will add to the existing problem of school drop off parking, where cars are often parked on both sides of the road with very limited access through. Some drivers, wanting to allow space for access park their cars entirely on the footpath on the South side, thereby forcing pedestrians to walk in the road. This makes it a dangerous area when parents are trying to offload their children from a car to walk them into the school.

Unless a council representative has already visited the site between the above times, I would encourage such a visit so the Council is fully aware of the problem, and would be happy to meet with them on site to discuss.

I would also suggest the following amendments to Schedule 1.

REMOVE

| | | |
|-------------|------|---|
| Berry Close | Both | From its junction with Crusader Road for 14.5 metres in a westerly direction. |
|-------------|------|---|

ADD

| | | |
|-------------|-------|---|
| Berry Close | North | From its junction with Crusader Road for 14.5 metres in a westerly direction. |
|-------------|-------|---|

ADD

| | | |
|-------------|-------|--|
| Berry Close | South | From its junction with Crusader Road for *** metres in a westerly direction. |
|-------------|-------|--|

The *** distance should be from the junction with Crusader Road to the point where it would meet the proposed no waiting area adjacent to no's 30-31 Berry Close (shown in the drawing with a blue line).

The rationale behind this is that parents will be able to park on the North side of Berry Close, and safely escort their children to school without having to cross the road as a footpath leading to the school between no's 4 & 5 is on that side of the road.

15.

Good Morning

Can you please let me know why it is necessary to reduce the already poor parking in the area? We already struggle to park our vehicles as the DPD van generally takes up an inordinate amount of parking when they can park in their yard

We often have to walk over 1/2 mile to and from our work just to park on a good day and this will only make matters worse, not only for us, but for the other shops and premises.

I look forward to hearing from you soon

16.

Once you carry out the works stated in the above public notice please can you outline what will happen in HEMDEAN GARDENS with the excessive cars parking in our road now they are no longer able to park in UPPER NEW ROAD?

Many thanks,

17.

This is something we wholeheartedly agree with and welcome as since moving to the area in Nov 2020 we have tried repeatedly to get the Police and Council in to acknowledge the risk and dangers posed by irresponsible drivers parking within 10 meters in contravention of the highway code. It's led to a repeated number of near misses as we have tried to exit our driveway (no.1) as our property sits at the junction.

Kind Regards

18.

wishes for the team to reassess the new application to place road markings (double yellows?) along Upper New Road due to the volume of parked cars etc. xx has seen the proposed application to place these markings along the road for 15 metres but feels this is not long enough. Would like this to be reconsidered.

19.

Regarding the proposed parking restrictions on Upper New Road and Hemdean Gardens. It would be much better if the restriction can be extended further up the road.

As things stand at the moment I can very rarely turn right out of my drive due to the number of parked vehicles.

By reducing parking spaces down the hill parking will be forced to extend further up the hill making the problem even worse.

Vision on leaving the property is restricted anyway and so many vehicles use the road as a 'rat run' usually not adhering to the speed limit.

Fewer parked vehicles would improve safety on the road.

Regards

20.

We would like to offer our full support to the proposed TRO for West Road, Hedge End.

The proposed order will address the years of inconsiderate parking and occasionally a complete obstruction of the road that the residents have had to endure, it will also facilitate the safe passage of traffic including access for utility and emergency vehicles. It should also address the proliferation of private cones and enhance the general amenity and appearance of the area.

We look forward to the order being implemented at the earliest opportunity.

Kind regards

21.

I would like to make a comment on the proposed TRO for West Road Hedge End in full support of the proposals ,West Road is an old and quite narrow road which makes access for delivery vehicles , refuse lorries , and more importantly emergency vehicles extremely difficult if vehicles are inconsiderately parked on both sides which they often are , to have clear access on one side would make a huge difference and I fully support the full recommendations for the whole of West Road . Also there are elderly and disabled residents living in the road who rely on patient transport and use the the community bus to get out and about all these bigger vehicles have great difficulty in access as they have to reverse the entire length of the road either in or out , being unable to turn round in the road .

22.

Is there anything going to be done about all the factories parking in our road? Most nights when I come home there is nowhere to park, so I have to park up on the grass. It would be a good idea to have residents parking only and people can park up to 3 hours, to stop the factories parking. it's getting ridiculous and we have nowhere to park. Yes I agree with having lines on the corners for safety , But not coming round as far as you propose because of our parking and other neighbours parking. As you want that as have a drive.

Thanks

23.

Resident complained about the amount of time the notices were up for

ADDITIONAL COMMENT Received via Councillor Kyrle.

I am a resident in berry close and I have heard from a neighbour about the plans to put double yellow lines around berry close/crusader Road area.

I have concerns about this due to the fact we do not have adequate parking, I am one of the 4 houses in the close that has no allocated parking space. Double yellows would make things difficult and stressful for 50% of us residents in the close. I understand the school drop off and pick ups are causing a slight problem occasionally however residents not being able to park their cars would cause more inconvenience. Where are we supposed to go? Is there a way we could traffic wardens or some sort of similar plan to monitor these times of day?