

Part of scheme	Comments
Allington Lane	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed changes to enforce a no wait zone outside our home. We are objecting on a number of reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="555 199 1451 300">1. The statement on your proposal states the following:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="701 236 1451 268">a) For avoiding danger to person or other traffic using the road<li data-bbox="701 272 1451 300">b) For facilitating the passage of vehicular traffic on the road <p data-bbox="602 344 1706 603">For many years we have been trying to get the speed limit restricted along this part of Allington Lane without success – no one seemed to care about safety back then of others. So it would now seem that you are going to penalise the residents vs. listening to us and reducing the speed limit. It would seem that other road users who use Allington Lane as a rat run are being given preference over the actual residents. The only issues we have ever had are with motorist going too fast vs. vehicles parked dangerously outside our homes. It would make more sense to reduce the speed to 30 miles an hour.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="555 639 1706 882">2. We have limited parking on the drive outside our home, we often have to utilise the street outside. If we are not able to do this our nearest parking would be over ½ a mile away, with elderly relatives that often visit this would be just too far for them to walk. In addition part of the route you would need to walk through to get home has no street lighting and has no other homes either side, so anyone walking would be vulnerable and I would not be comfortable for anyone especially my wife and daughter to walk down after dark. Again our safety is being put ahead of motorist using Allington Lane.<li data-bbox="555 927 1688 986">3. We run our business from home and regularly have deliveries and/or visits from customers who need to park outside on the road<li data-bbox="555 1031 1693 1090">4. A neighbour a few doors down is picked up on a daily basis by an ambulance – how will this affect them? <p data-bbox="506 1177 1559 1204">I have copied in our local MP, who I will also be contacting directly to raise my concerns.</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
	<p>Please could you pass this on to the correct dept. to whom it may concern</p> <p>I am writing to express my views on the order to put yellow lines in Allington Lane West End, between the Country Park and the Casuar Park.</p> <p>My house is on a dangerous semi blind bend as you travel north between the Country Park and the Casuar Park, and years ago we had a campaign to get a pavement as even back then our lane was a dangerous fast road. It meant that some residents including my family had to give up part of our gardens. The pavement we put there for the safety of pedestrians. Obviously our road is far more dangerous now, more traffic, faster traffic, motor vehicles that shouldn't use the lane, and inconsiderate drivers, including those who park on the pavement.</p> <p>There are a number of residents in the Casuar Park who drive invalid carriages or walk with sticks. There are families with young children in pushchairs. Also residents and visitors to the Country Park walk their dogs. So when cars are parked on the pavement, we have to go into the very road that has classed as dangerous enough to warrant us having a pavement.</p> <p>It is also dangerous for residents to see when trying to get out of our driveway. We also have problems when the Country Park hold events, fun runs etc, when on the odd occasion they have problem to open the gates on a weekend or when people visiting the Park don't want to pay the parking fees. I notice the Country Park are likely to be attracting more visitors due to the new layout planned for the Park.</p> <p>I have lived here for 53 yrs and it is said that there should be a need for this to happen, but if it makes it safer for us residents, able and disabled, and the visitors to the Country Park, bearing in mind that if we have to go into the road we not only have to go round the parked cars but have to be wary of fast traffic, because for some reason people just do not want to slow down when using our road, and if the Council deems it necessary then I am in support of the order. I just wish people were more thoughtful when parking their cars, then there may not be the need. I have enclosed two photo copies to help to explain my comments</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
Damen Close	<p>I would like the proposed waiting restrictions to be actioned, go ahead.</p> <p>My reasons are:-</p> <p>I have not been able to access my driveway on a 24 hours basis, due to indiscriminate full driveway obstruction as evidenced over the past 2 years.</p> <p>The No waiting / Double Yellow lines around the turning circle would give me access to get in and out of my driveway.</p> <p>I appreciate all the work involved to date from Eastleigh Borough Council and the Hedge End Councillors. I hope this proposal is actioned for Double Yellow Lines / No waiting at any time as this would stop the indiscriminate parking opposite our property and solve the ongoing parking problem of full driveway obstruction.</p> <p>This proposal would also make much easier to for all vehicles to turn around on the turning heads.</p> <p>I have Cc.in PCSO Charlie Woodford 18358 to this email.</p> <p>Dear PCSO Woodford, when you last visited Damen Close you asked to be Cc in to any emails regarding parking, as you offered future support.</p> <p>Please could I request any supporting comments / information from Hampshire Constabulary regarding any parking incidents or parking related incidents within Damen Close.</p> <p>Please could you forward any supporting comments to:</p> <p>Eastleigh Borough Council</p> <p>Hedge End Councillors, engineers@eastleigh.gov.uk</p> <p>All email addresses on this email</p> <p>I am asking for your support to get the proposal actioned for Double Yellow Lines / No waiting at any time in Damen Close Hedge End SO30 0DE in the turning heads, as advised on Eastleigh Borough Council's website today.</p> <p>Previous correspondence received:</p> <p>Please could this email / photograph evidence be added for the TRO meeting March.</p> <p>I have left the Engineer's Department off the email as no further evidence is needed, as they have enough photo evidence for the forthcoming TRO meeting/ Double Yellow line request in March 2023.</p> <p>As explained via letter dated 13th July 2022 by Hampshire Constabulary, it is Eastleigh Borough Council who are the authorities that deal with parking issues. (As I previously sent to Eastleigh Borough Council / Hedge End Councillors in a previous email).</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Damen Close</p>	<p>Please be aware of this ongoing parking issue which still continues of Full Driveway Obstruction, which means I have been unable to access public highway via my driveway. I give permission to share this email and photographs to be sent to any other person or agency.</p> <p>As evidenced below the parked vehicle is causing a full driveway obstruction on 19th October 2022 between 14:19 - 15:31 I was unable to access my driveway via public highway.</p> <p>Date: 1st November 2022 Date:16:53</p> <p>Another example below of indiscriminate parking from a different vehicle as evidenced below was parked between <u>16:53 - 17:27</u> causing a full driveway obstruction. I don't know the owner of the parked vehicle or the owners location. I had to wait until the van moved before I could access public highway via my driveway in my blue Ford Focus. The example below of my blue Ford Focus accessing public highway via my driveway with no full driveway obstruction.</p> <p>The letter dated the 13th July 2022 (forwarded to Eastleigh Council and Hedge End Councillors) from Hampshire Constabulary to all residents of Damen Close requesting not to cause a full driveway obstruction has been unsuccessful.</p> <p>I look forward to March 2023 for the TRO meeting for hopefully a long term solution to the ongoing parking problem / full driveway obstruction problem. I appreciate all the work/ support going on behind the scenes in preparation for the forthcoming TRO meeting March 2023 from Eastleigh Borough Council, Councillors Keith House and Cynthia Garton. I will continue to monitor / evidence and forward any further indiscriminate full driveway obstruction. I hope this ongoing indiscriminate parking problem of full driveway obstruction can be resolved at the next TRO meeting in March 2023, This parking issue / full driveway obstruction is causing stress and inconvenience for the whole family and it's having an impact on our quality of life by losing our independence being unable to leave or enter our property via public highway. "These basic rights are based on shared values like dignity, fairness, equality, respect and independence. These values are defined and protected by law". Human Rights Act 1998. The whole family are losing the enjoyment of living at our property, due to this ongoing indiscriminate parking issue / full driveway obstruction.</p> <p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>I am a resident of Damen Close, Hedge End Southampton, I would like the proposed waiting restrictions to be implemented. The proposal would enable myself, friends and family to access our driveway in a safe manner.</p> <p>Dear Sir / Madam</p> <p>I am a resident of Damen Close Hedge End Southampton</p> <p>I would like the proposed waiting restrictions to be actioned, go ahead.</p> <p>My reasons are:-</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
	<p>I have not been able to access my driveway on a 24 hours basis, due to indiscriminate full driveway obstruction as evidenced over the past 2 years. The No waiting / Double Yellow lines around the turning circle would give me access to get in and out of my driveway.</p>
<p>Damen Close</p>	<p>I am writing to you today regarding the letter that we received about the parking proposals to the top of Damen close in Hedge End.</p> <p>As a resident of the Close, I feel there is a lot of issues that the council is not taking into consideration regarding these proposals. That they are listening to the voice of one rather than the majority.</p> <p>I would be one of the first to admit that parking is tight in this area but most of the time as neighbours we talk to one another if a problem arises.</p> <p>Recently problems have occurred with parking issues since a neighbour, has increased the size of his driveway, so that he now parks his car at such an angle that reversing from his driveway he needs both sides of the carriageway to get out. This then impacts on where we park.</p> <p>We live next door, and over the last few years every time this resident has increased the parking on his own property it has impacted on street parking.</p> <p>Back in 2012 we had 2 vehicles and one driveway space so we would park one behind the other, the second one being in the road.</p> <p>The neighbour next door would do the same with his van and one of his cars his third vehicle being parked opposite the driveway of No.1. As shown on the first 3 pictures.</p> <p>We later doubled the width of our driveway giving us 3 off road spaces. As shown in pictures 4 and 5.</p> <p>Later the neighbour put a new driveway in on his front garden giving him space for a total of 4 vehicles to be parked off road. He only ever parked one car on this large expanse of driveway, his van was always parked on the original driveway next to ours and his third vehicle in front on the road.</p> <p>Recently, in the last year, the neighbour has again increased his off road parking by extending the original parking bay. As shown in picture 6. Now if we happen to double park, if we have visitors or we are loading or unloading, he insists that he cannot reverse his car out of this new bay and will take pictures and phone the police and the council. See pictures 7 and 8. Picture 8 shows pictures taken when we were loading flowers into our car on the day of my father's funeral. He didn't actually use his car on this occasion, just backed it out to take pictures, left it there for 20 mins and then put it back on his drive. Another time when my father -in-law came to lunch he parked in the</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
	<p>road at the bottom of our drive (he is 90 and it's easier for him to get in and out of his car on the flat). Whilst we were indoors the neighbour reversed his car out up against my father-in-laws car and took pictures. He left his car in this position for the whole of the 4 hours that my father-in-law was visiting. At no time did he knock the door and ask us to move it.</p> <p>If you look back at all the complaints about parking in the close they have come from one person and they are aimed at us.</p> <p>If the proposals for the yellow lines in the close go ahead it will be awkward for us, however my main concern is for our elderly neighbours. They only have one parking space, taken up by his car, and have regular visitors who will have nowhere to park. Their daughter visits 2/3 times a week, their Gardener with his machinery, and their carer / cleaner who visits twice a week and carries a vacuum cleaner etc. Where will they park? The yellow lines are even marked round the only area at the top of the Close where there is not a dropped kerb, an area which was a designated parking/turning area. If nothing else please could this area be spared. Where is the danger to other traffic/ pedestrians as stated in the reasons for the proposals??</p> <p>I think I am correct in assuming that you will get emails of objection from all of the residents in Damen close except from one, the Driving Instructor who cannot reverse his car out of his own driveway. (Note as well that the neighbour uses his van once a week, his car gets used daily. If he were to swap these over on his driveway he could solve the problem that he has created.)</p> <p>I look forward to your response in this matter and hope that in due course common sense and neighbourly consideration will prevail.</p>
Damen Close	As a resident of Damen Close I wish to object to the Proposed TPO. The current situation allows for a car to be parked with room to turn and access all properties and does not cause danger to persons or other traffic using the road.
Damen Close	I am writing to support the proposal for parking restrictions to be implemented at Damen Close. I visit a resident there and have found that if I park in sensible way on their drive, I can be obstructed by neighbours who haven't parked thoughtfully. I feel a restriction may make the situation safer for all.
Damen Close	I am astounded at the proposed parking restrictions in Damen Close. As you know there are 4 houses in the close and also the main entrance for 20 Foord Road is in the Close. My parents have lived here ever since the houses were built over 50 years!! It was also my family home where I grew up. My parents are elderly with Dad 91 and my mother 87.I am their main carer. They do have other helpers and support. Consequently I am frequently with them. My Father is registered disabled and I am also disabled. There have NEVER been any problems in the close. The neighbours have always got on very well and look out for each other. There have NEVER been any parking problems. There is just the one house that has stirred up a problem. Four houses out of five are strongly against the proposal. One household in Damen Close are the ONLY ones that have caused this whole matter.

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Damen Close</p>	<p>Ever since they moved into the close they have made many allegations TOTALLY untrue. They have fallen out with 3 out of 4 of the neighbours and has at some stage reported them all overtime to the police. Before they moved in we were warned that he could be trouble! He is well known to the police and I believe to the council. He tried to get lines in Damen Close a few years ago. All the neighbours had a meeting but he refused to come. NOBODY ELSE in Damen Close agrees with the Proposal. It will only leave my parents one space which is on his sloped driveway. My mother cannot get in or out of the car on the drive. There will be nowhere for Carers, Nurses, Support Services to park. I will have nowhere to park Sometimes I am with my parents for a long time and on occasions I have had to stay overnight. Where will visitors park?? One of the first concerns of the other neighbours were how my parents will be affected. Everyone is thoughtful to others concerning parking and there has never been any trouble.</p> <p>Once again I state that only one household want these ridiculous measures in place. One House out of Five in the close.</p> <p>In conclusion I strongly believe the proposals should not be accepted.</p> <p>On a personal level, my parents don't need this worry at their time of life.</p> <p>Apart from one household the neighbours are brilliant. They look out for my parents and each other. I cannot stress enough that there has never been a parking problem in the close. The neighbours all get along well and there is a close bond between them all. As I stated there is only one resident that has wanted these restrictions. He is being totally ridiculous! If you look at the close you can see that he has enough space/driveway for at least 8 cars!!! The proposed restrictions will not affect him anyway. The sooner this is sorted the better. One against five? Let sense prevail please.</p> <p>Obviously my worries are for my parents. It is also clear that the other residents are worried for them too. Why create a problem when there isnt one to start with?</p> <p>Would you please inform us when the meeting will be as we are all keen to attend.</p> <p>Further to my email of the 10th November I have further concerns regarding the reasons for the proposals. The reasons stated ;</p> <p>a) For avoiding danger to person or other traffic using the road.</p> <p>b) For facilitating the passage of vehicular traffic on the road.</p> <p>The proposals have due regard to the requirements of S122 of the Road Traffic Act 1984 by securing expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on the highway.</p> <p>The council must consider,</p> <p>The importance of facilitating the passage of public service vehicles and of securing the safety and convenience of persons using or desiring to use such vehicles.</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Damen Close</p>	<p>With regard to these reasons I cannot see why a vehicle parking in the bay between the driveways of No.2 and No.3 would be 'a danger to person or other traffic using the road', and similarly with vehicles parked elsewhere at the top of the Close. Equally parking in these places does not impede the passage of vehicular traffic on the road.</p> <p>By restricting the parking at the top of the Close forces vehicles to park down the length of the road outside No.1. It should be noted that the width of the roadway in Damen Close is narrow and if vehicles were to park along the length of the road then the width of the remaining roadway is not wide enough to 'secure expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular traffic'. .</p> <p>The council in this case are not considering 'the passage of public service vehicles' most of which are large, ie waste collection, delivery vehicles, fire engines.</p> <p>If these aforementioned parked vehicles were to park partly on the pavement, to allow more space on the road then this is not securing safe movement of pedestrians</p> <p>Lastly the council would be failing in ' the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on the highway'.</p> <p>I hope the council takes note of the concerns of the majority of the residents of Damen Close and votes to dismiss these proposals.</p>
<p>Watson Court/Hindmarch Crescent</p>	<p>I am writing in response to and objection of the waiting restrictions proposed in Hindmarch Crescent/Watson Court. I will start by stating that I am the homeowner on Hindmarch Crescent.</p> <p>I appreciate these proposals have likely been generated as a result of the difficulty of refuse lorries accessing Watson Court due to inconsiderate and obstructive parking and in this sense I am in agreement with the proposals, however I believe this proposal to be extremely short sighted and I will detail why:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The entirety of Hindmarch Crescent suffers with SIGNIFICANT parking issues. The roads are narrow. The approval of planning for this development was negligent in that it was approved and built without the minimum standards of parking (almost all the 4 bed properties on this estate only have 2 rather than 3 parking spaces, as an example) and that is a large part of the reason for the issues it sees today. EBC have also continued to approve garage conversions on the development against the advice of Hedge End Town Council who repeatedly site the serious vehicle restrictions, which has in turn driven even more vehicles to on street parking. Cars line the road making it extremely difficult for any vehicle to drive safely down it, especially any kind of large delivery vehicle, refuse vehicles, and most importantly emergency vehicles.

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Watson Court/Hindmarch Crescent</p>	<p>- The crescent currently has NO restrictions which means cars park on blind bends, mounting pavements, well within 10m of the junctions and on both sides of the road causing a 'slalom' type manoeuvre to be required. Cars, in essence, park as close as they can to their property without a care as to how obstructive their parking is and this is only amplified during school pick up and drop off times in view of the crescent's vicinity to Kings Copse School.</p> <p>- The reality of the situation is that the road layout and widths really do not lend themselves to ANY on street parking, at least if you wish the highway to be accessible, unobstructed and safe.</p> <p>- The proposal given, I estimate, will displace in excess of 10 cars from their usual choice of obstructive, on-street parking space. I am unclear as to where you expect these vehicles will then park? We are lucky enough to benefit from a double driveway to off-road park but access to this is increasingly difficult due to the obstructive parking around the entrance to our driveway and this proposal is likely to make this issue significantly worse. All that is being done with this proposal is to shift the issue and eventually cars will park obstructively elsewhere in the crescent causing further pinch points that large vehicles cannot get through (including council refuse lorries!).</p> <p>- Whatever is done at this point is going to displace a significant number of vehicles to park elsewhere. However I believe the only way to alleviate this is to extend the no waiting restrictions across at least the majority of the crescent in order to disperse the poor parking into a less concentrated area. There are few areas on the crescent that I see where on-street parking is not either obstructive or unsafe.</p> <p>- I contacted EBC a year or so ago to propose restrictions in Hindmarch Crescent as I have actually had a car accident in the crescent caused by poor parking and a lack of passing places due to the on-street parking that takes place. Frankly, the council had a careless attitude in response and told me this type of road would never be a priority to impose any restriction. It is convenient that now your council services are impacted (by way of difficult access for bin lorries) meaning repeated collection attempts and therefore wasted money, it has suddenly become a priority for the council.</p> <p>I would appreciate your serious consideration of my significant concerns and will also be placing a freedom of information request re. the approval of a development which does not meet EBC's own parking standards in support of a reconsideration or revision of this proposed order.</p> <p>In addition to my previous comments regarding the above proposed TRO, specifically regarding the Hindmarch Crescent/Watson Court element, having looked at the proposal further I would like to state further comment/objection in terms of the lack of accuracy given in the statement of reasons.</p> <p>The statement of reasons states the reason for the TRO as:</p> <p>'a) For avoiding danger to person or other traffic using the road'</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
	<p>- I do not believe the proposed TRO fulfils this reasoning. This TPO may fulfil this in the specific area it is proposing. However, other traffic and pedestrians in areas of the crescent/road where a TPO will not be enforced will inherently be put at more danger by this TPO. Cars that cannot park where the TPO is proposed will now park more obstructively and dangerously elsewhere in the road, given the significantly poor availability of on street parking and inappropriate widths of the roads for parking.</p> <p>‘b) For facilitating the passage of vehicular traffic on the road’</p> <p>- As above, the TPO will fulfil this reasoning in the specific section that it covers. However this is to the detriment of the rest of the crescent/road which will be parked on obstructively and dangerously by those who can no longer park in the TPO area. The proposed TPO only serves to shift the issue to a slightly different location.</p> <p>‘The proposals have due regard to the requirements of S122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, by securing ... the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on the highway.’</p> <p>- There is a very poor parking provision on Hindmarch Crescent/Watson Court. It is a very poorly planned development which, to my knowledge, should not have been accepted in its present form as per EBC’s standards.</p> <p>The proposed TPO only serves to make this parking situation/provision worse. Cars currently park on blind corners, obstructively around driveways, on narrow parts of the road and partially on pavements and this will be exacerbated.</p> <p>To my knowledge (I am awaiting full information from HCC), the carriageway width in large parts of Hindmarch Crescent is not wide enough to support on street parking yet this has still been permitted.</p> <p>I strongly believe the only solution to this dangerous parking and road situation is to propose a wider TPO across the entirety of Watson Court/Hindmarch Crescent from evening until post school run in the AM. This is opposed to a small TPO which is only proposed for the convenience of EBC’s refuse collections. Overnight is the time when parking is at its worst and most dangerous in the area and the proposed TPO will exacerbate this. Until something is done on a bigger, wider scale, the issue is only being shifted and becoming more dangerous nearby.</p>
<p>Jasmine Road/Tamarisk Road</p>	<p>I live in Jasmine Road Hedge End and have seen Proposed Waiting Restrictions on our lampposts.</p> <p>In Section 1 - it states a restriction of ‘No Waiting at Any Time’ and says: Jasmine Road both sides from the junction of Tamarisk Road for 10 metres in a westerly direction. Tamarisk Road both sides from a point 10 metres south of its junction with Jasmine Road to a point 10 metres north of that junction.</p> <p>Then on Section 4 - it states No Waiting 8-9am and 2.30-4pm Mon-Fri and says: Jasmine Road north side from a point 10 metres west of its junction with Tamarisk Road to a point</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
Jasmine Road/Tamarisk Road	<p>adjacent to the westernmost boundary of 16 Jasmine Road Tamarisk Road both sides from a point 10 metres south of its junction with Jasmine Road southwards to a point adjacent to the southernmost boundary of 21 Tamarisk Road.</p> <p>It appears a bit contradicting, so could I ask for an explanation please. Is Jasmine Road going to be No Waiting At Any Time or No Waiting between 8-9am and 2.30-4pm Mon-Fri?</p> <p>Further correspondence:</p> <p>So my understanding is the No Waiting At Certain Times applies to Tamarisk Road up to the junction of Jasmine Road.</p> <p>In doing this it will push all the school traffic into Jasmine Road which is already an issue with parents parking over my drop kerb constantly. (No 3) I have photos of this happening.</p> <p>Can the No Waiting at Certain Times be extended to both sides of Jasmine and not just one?</p> <p>Further correspondence:</p> <p>Another reason for requesting both sides is so the owners of 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 & 16 Jasmine Road are able to get out of their turning without having to do 3 point turns, which is what they currently have to do with cars parking on the opposite side of the road.</p> <p>I would welcome your comments on getting the proposals extended to both sides.</p>
Jasmine Road/Tamarisk Road	<p>Good afternoon</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > With reference to the order number 16 and impacts on Tamarisk Road in > hedge end and following my conversation this morning on the telephone, > I would like to submit objection to this proposal > > I reside in Tamarisk Road > > Whilst I have a driveway we currently work from home. But from There are 3 adults all with cars One of the cars is sorn and cannot be moved. My son has a large van for work which he has to use during the week and occasionally has not departed before 9am his private car is parked on the road. > > My objection is that we will be inconvenienced by having to > potentially move 2 of our cars in the morning and in the afternoon > during the restriction times given the current parking situation(> which I appreciate this notice is to help)there will be no where > local to actually park and as We commence work between 8 am and 830

Part of scheme	Comments
Jasmine Road/Tamarisk Road	<p>> it will be very inconvenient to have to move around the estate looking</p> <p>> for parking I understand an option is to have "lines" painted at</p> <p>> bottom of my drop curbs where we can safely park and not be subject to</p> <p>> any potential fines></p> <p>> I fully understand the safety aspects of the order in respect of</p> <p>> school children but there are walk and stride notices which are clearly ignored but i do object to the inconvenience this will cause my household and potential for penalty charges to be issued for Parking outside my own home Could permits be issued as a solution ?</p> <p>>> Also we only found out about this a few days ago when son walked past > the lamppost up the road</p>
Lyons Place	<p>I am a resident of Lyons Place, Hedge End and have been living at this address since Nov 2018.</p> <p>Thank you for sharing parking restrictions proposals with all of us. I have serious objections to these proposals. Please see below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • My mother is a blue badge holder and to meet her needs, I need to park my car next to my door. With these new restrictions it would be impossible to do so. Are you also planning to add "disabled parking only" ? • Some of the residents have more than 1 car including me, it will be a challenge for me to park too • I have visitors too occasionally and after the implementation of new parking restrictions, they won't be able to do so. <p>Therefore, I am requesting you to consider the above mentioned points and put ON HOLD new parking restrictions until further deliberations. Hope this will not be a problem.</p>
Lyons Place	<p>Just wanted to say it's good to see the yellow lines proposed in Lyons Place in the letter we received last week, as you know from previous correspondence and photos we have been struggling for many years and it is worse now than it has ever been with peoples selfish parking</p> <p>At times we can't pull out safely because of cars on the pavement meaning we can't see what is coming and have had several near misses, not to mention almost every week the struggles with refuse collection and them often having to come back another day</p> <p>That along with delivery vans not being able to manoeuvre around the bend to get up to our house there would be no way any emergency services would be able to get to us with us being right up the end.</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
Lyons Place	<p>I'm sure there will be loads of objections by the selfish parkers like there has been in the past as there is limited space, but at some point surely safety and common sense has to prevail, so really hoping these get approved this time</p>
Lyons Place	<p>In response to your letter regarding resident parking I would like to express my comments below.</p> <p>Firstly, I welcome the double yellows going outside 4 & 6 Lyons Place, as to stop people parking on my front door.</p> <p>However, I would also like to give my objection to the rest of the proposed double yellows in the street.</p> <p>Parking round here is hard enough. I live in Lyons Place yet this evening I have had to park on Upper St Helens, as there is no room whatsoever (And this is before you make it worse!) Trying to get my dog and toddler out of the car onto a main road is dangerous.</p> <p>If you put the restrictions in we will be forced to park on other roads such as Upper St Helens, Stockholm Drive or worst case Heathouse Lane which would be extremely dangerous and cause further traffic.</p> <p>All households have 2+ cars. If you cannot provide 2+ spaces for each household we have to park on the roads. And now you're taking that away and making it dangerous for families!</p> <p>If you don't like the volume of cars, stop building so many houses.</p>
Lyons Place	<p>I would like to out in writing my objections to the proposed parking restriction amendments on 4 Lyons Place. I do find the cars being parked all over the road an inconvenience but if this many dwellings are built, with limited parking provided, it is always going to happen. By putting these restrictions in place you are creating additional issues with no solutions. These cars aren't just going to disappear!</p>
Lyons Place	<p>I am a resident living at Lyons Place, Hedge End with my partner and we recently received a letter regarding parking restrictions proposals within the street with details of the proposals and plan.</p> <p>I would like to understand more about why these parking restriction proposals are being put into place and who is requesting for this. Is it solely the Councillors or residents living in the street?</p> <p>Within the street (Lyons Place) parking is extremely limited. Myself and my partner both drive and need to do so to get to work due to public transport not being suitable/easily accessible to our workplace locations. We only have 1 allocated driveway space in the street which means, like many other households in the street, I have to park on the road. The proposals outlined directly affects where I park and it would mean that I would need to park in another street away from my home</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Lyons Place</p>	<p>which I do not feel comfortable in doing so. The proposals and plan leaves very limited parking space available and I question where residents are expected to park (never mind family and friends who visit) when already the parking is very tight in the area due to the amount of flats in the street and not enough parking spaces allocated to everyone who needs one. Again I question the consideration regarding parking when so many flats were built in the street and plans for the flats were developed. As I have mentioned regarding our needs for a car and parking access, this is a priority for us within our household and it is a priority for us when looking for a place to live.</p> <p>I would like to understand and see documentation for what consultation has been done with residents in the street prior to this with reasoning behind the restriction proposals, as this is first time I have heard about these proposals. I would also like to know what future consultation will be done regarding this and to be involved in upcoming resident consultation.</p> <p>There is also no mention of timelines as to when these restrictions might come into effect, please can you let us know when this might be likely to go ahead (if it does).</p>
<p>Lyons Place</p>	<p>I am writing to strongly oppose – ‘The Borough of Eastleigh (Hedge End, West End and Botley) (Amendment No 16) Order 2023’ for Lyons Place.</p> <p>As a homeowner with my partner in this road we were outraged to see the proposition put forward and strongly object against it. I understand this may be necessary on a main road but in a close with houses and flats which had been planned, agreed and finalised without adequate parking for residents it feels like the residents homelife, and wellbeing has not been taken into consideration at all. There is no through traffic through our residential road and as per the statement of reasons Lyons Place does not have adequate parking for residents hence why parking on the road is necessary.</p> <p>Our road includes 3 buildings worth of flats, as an owner of one of these flats I know we all only have one allocated parking space. Most of these flats are 2 bedrooms with 2 residents living inside, with a car each. To only have one allocated parking space and no set extra parking means at least one of the residents of each flat needs to park on the road. It is shocking that on building these flats an area had not been created for extra parking as it is rare for families to only own one car. Due to this poor planning we are now the ones set to suffer as a consequence, we would never have bought this property if we were not told on buying that we were able to park on the road.</p> <p>Everyone just about manages to find a space at present, but the new proposal will mean three quarters of those who park on the road will have to find elsewhere to park. Instead of solving any issues this will end up taking the ‘issue’ to another close or the main road and cause a lot of inconvenience to people’s lives.</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Lyons Place</p>	<p>My partner and I are due to have our first baby in April and my partner is a hospital worker who works anti-social hours. I am distraught he may not be able to park in the road where we own a property, especially if needing to get to the hospital or if he needs to carry bags and the baby out to maybe a 15 min walk away. On being sleep deprived from a new baby and from long shifts, a long walk to get to his car will be the last thing he needs.</p> <p>My question to yourselves, and the councillors is where exactly are residents with one allocated parking space and 2 cars expected to park? Or had this not been taken into consideration at all in creating this proposal?</p> <p>I feel the inconvenience and distress caused for our road outweighs any set reason outlined for why this proposal is going ahead. On working at home regularly the only pedestrians that walk along the Lyons Place pavements are homeowners or visitors returning to their cars. This feels like unnecessary stress it is causing me now at 4 months pregnant, on worrying my partner or visitors helping me will not be able to park anywhere near to my home.</p> <p>I beg of you to reconsider this proposition on the grounds of poor initial planning on the parking allocated to residents and the overall wellbeing of the residents who live in Lyons Place.</p>
<p>Lyons Place</p>	<p>I am writing to strongly object to the parking restriction proposals for Lyons place.</p> <p>I would like to start by asking where Eastleigh Borough Council proposes residents of Lyons place park if this plan is implemented. The close is home to multiple two bed. These flats are allocated one off street parking space each despite being almost entirely two bed residences. I inhabit a one bed flat with my partner with one off street parking space. Furthermore, multiple properties on the road own more than two vehicles with driveways suitable for only one or two vehicles. The only section of the close with adequate off-street parking is to its North East end in which there are no current, nor proposed parking restrictions.</p> <p>The Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 states for the provision of suitable and adequate parking. These properties were constructed with a single parking space despite the majority being two or more bed residents. Thereby it must have been within the residential planning for there to be parking on the close. The proposals appear to target flat residents which I feel is a discriminatory policy towards those unable to afford a property with sufficient off-street parking. It must be apparent that planning did not adhere to the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, in that there was vastly insufficient provision of suitable and adequate parking.</p> <p>If the plan were to be implemented an average 10 cars that currently park within the proposed no waiting zones would be forced to park elsewhere. The situation is already challenging when visitors to other properties make parking near our property difficult. Where does the council propose these vehicles go whilst being within a suitable distance from their property as required under the act to</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Lyons Place</p>	<p>which the proposal is raised? There is no parking on Upper St Helens Road within a sensible distance and alternative parking would be at least a 20-minute walk away. Moving these vehicles elsewhere would exacerbate the issue of parking on other closes and roads connecting to Upper St Helens Road. Increasing parking on the busy thoroughfare of Upper St Helens Road would increase risk of danger to traffic and persons using the road rather than reduced it, in opposition to Statement of Reasons A.</p> <p>The close is not a main highway or thoroughfare and traffic is only to and from properties within the close. Parking does not restrict pathways as to be unusable and the nature of the close means driving speed is so low as to minimise risk if crossing the street. Current No Waiting zones ensure that traffic entering the close has space to wait at the entrance to the close to observe and wait if traffic is exiting the close, thereby preventing any unnecessary waiting on the busier Upper St Helens Road. Additionally, the only dropped curb crossing point for pedestrians is at the South West entrance to the close where sufficient parking restrictions already ensure good visibility of crossing pedestrians. Within my year inhabiting the close I have not once observed or experienced traffic being unable to proceed due to parked vehicles. Therefore, current parking restrictions already facilitate the passage of vehicular traffic on the road (Statement of Reasons B).</p> <p>My partner and I are expecting a child in April and frankly the proposal has filled us with dread. Living in a second floor one bed flat already proposes a challenge and the thought of being unable to park within a sensible distance from our property is frankly disgusting. I consider the proposals would increase the dangers posed to pedestrians through resulting in lengthy walks to and from our properties. I work for the NHS as a Radiographer which involves working antisocial hours and requires my timely arrival at the hospital. If the proposed parking restrictions were enacted it would make my working life even more difficult and increase my stress of living with the already inadequate suitable parking to my residence. We would have not have considered the property if the proposed parking restrictions were already in place, as access to the property would be untenable. I believe restricting parking on the close to this degree would negatively impact the wellbeing, safety and house values of all residents. Statement of Reasons B is already facilitated under current restrictions and Statement of Reasons A would be opposed by the proposal by increasing danger to residents and risk to traffic elsewhere.</p> <p>I sincerely hope you see the sound reasoning behind my challenge and reconsider the brutal, damaging and stressful parking restrictions that have been proposed.</p>
<p>Lyons Place</p>	<p>With reference to you proposed TRO with in Lyons Place SO30 could you please give me as resident of Lyons Place some sort of alternative parking arrangement you would expect me to use, if I am unable to park outside my home. As a married couple both of us working each with a vehicle and working different shifts, if your TRO goes ahead we will have no means locally to park to our cars. I believe the situation in Lyons Place has got worse since you implemented the TRO in Upper St</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
Lyons Place	<p>Helens Road where some residents there are now parking in Lyons Place. I live on the corner of Lyons Place in a block of 11 flats some residents have at least 2 cars so I am sure I am not the only resident that will be impacted by your proposal.</p> <p>Perhaps a free parking permit only for residents may help by stopping non residents parking in the close Lyons Place.</p>
Lyons Place	<p>We at Lyons place would like to strongly and respectfully lay an objection with a small part of the proposed double lines which I have highlighted in yellow and circles in attached. There are 2 consistent cars parked here for years. The way these cars are parked have never been an issue for any traffic nor pedestrians. This side of Lyons place is on the dead end and we experience no foot traffic. There has never been an issue with waste management getting through either. Should you wish to discuss this further please be in touch</p>
Marls Road	<p>I'd like to comment on your proposed no waiting times in Marls Road.</p> <p>I believe that removing the existing parking bays will create a lot more unwanted parking that block the pavement and restrict the road.</p> <p>I live on Marvin Way and we already get cars parked on and blocking the pavement due to the narrower road. A lot of cars I believe are from the garages nearby and have often notice that they have been left for days and really noticed one left for around two weeks without being moved. This forces pedestrians to walk in the road. Admittedly it's generally a quieter road but the pavement should be accessible for all pedestrians at all times.</p> <p>We've also had cars park completely on the road on Marvin Way which cause difficulty for cars and any emergency services driving/accessing Marvin Way.</p> <p>Please consider these factors when making any further decisions regarding the no waiting times on Marls Road. If this is to go ahead it should be in conjunction with extending the double yellow lines along Marvin Way.</p>
Marls Road	<p>I would just like to submit my approval for the introduction of the proposed TRO for the turning area adjacent 1 Marls Rd/Marvin Way. At present there are two restricted time parking bays marked in the turning area. This means that cars and large vans now come into Marls Road, where they have had no need to before, to use these bays. Increasing the vehicles to an otherwise quiet road. Large cans and commercial vehicles are now parking in these bays, some of which jut out some way into</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Marls Road</p>	<p>the road area making turning dangerous. Typically this is Botley Car Centre or residents living in the flats above Botley Car Centre.</p> <p>This is added vehicle traffic in an area that is a quite residential area and dead end road. Parking in these bays also limits the turning area for other vehicles such as parcel and material deliveries etc.</p> <p>I believe this to increase the potential risk of injury to persons and or property. A serious Health and Safety issue.</p> <p>I wholeheartedly support the removal of these restricted bays and replaced with the proposed no parking at any time restriction.</p> <p>This turning area should be reverted back to the original safe keep clear for turning area.</p>
<p>Romill Close</p>	<p>You have used a blanket Statement of Reasons for approximately seventeen different locations. Please can you be more specific to the dangers you have identified in Romill Close, as I wish to inform my family and friends of such hazards?</p> <p>Can you also explain how you will address the issue of suitable parking for residents and visitors to Romill Close?</p> <p>Thank you for the link, the extent of highway is clearly marked by the dark grey shading. The area above that line belongs to Mill Gardens which has not been adopted. Residents of Mill gardens still pay a maintenance fee for the whole site. If this is incorrect can you please supply the date this estate was adopted by the council and the highway authority?</p>
<p>Severn Way/Trent Way</p>	<p>Thank you for your e-mail we would like to submit our concerns about the proposed waiting restrictions, in particular, in Severn Way</p> <p>We are writing to pass on our concerns about the proposed waiting restrictions in Severn Way.</p> <p>We have lived on West End High Street (with rear access, to our driveway, from Severn Way) for nearly 30 years. In all that time we have never experienced any major inconvenience from other residents, or their visitors, parking on the road, outside their homes. If there are cars parked on the road there is still room to pass, at a slow speed, and as this is a quiet, residential cul-de-sac, this is not an issue. Large refuse vehicles also manage, twice each week, to pass any parked cars, as do</p>

Part of scheme	Comments
<p>Severn Way/Trent Way</p>	<p>delivery vehicles, and we have never heard of any vehicles being knocked or damaged by passing vehicles.</p> <p>If these parking restrictions are approved it will cause major inconvenience, for the majority of residents in Severn Way, and their visitors and it will also have a detrimental, knock on effect, on neighbouring roads, adding to the, already, hazardous situation on St James Road - particularly at the junction with Hope Road.</p> <p>Many of the residents in Severn Way are elderly and have been unable to read the proposals and make their views known, they do not have access to the internet but they do rely on home visiting services, carers, hairdressers, gardeners etc all who need to park on the road.</p> <p>We cannot see that there is any problem with resident (and visitor) parking, there is very much a neighbourly, community feel and we do all consider each other and would talk to one another if there was any issue. If it is considered that there is a wider issue with shoppers, workers or cricket parking causing problems we wonder if a 'permit holders only' parking scheme would be more suitable?</p>
<p>Severn Way/Trent Way</p>	<p>We have been advised by neighbours about proposed restrictions in Trent Way West End. Due to lack of mobility due to our age, we rarely walk far and understand a sign was tied to a lamp post at the end of the road.</p> <p>I wonder if you realise that the majority of residents in this road are late seventies and over 80 years of age. Due to this age range it necessitates visits by carers, cleaners, chiropodists and general maintenance contractors. What arrangements have you made to cater for these visitors?</p> <p>I understand that the restrictions are being made due to the Ageus Bowl visitors, but why should the residents be penalised for their problem, especially as the matches are in the summer and I imagine this parking restriction would be for all the year.</p> <p>If I am incorrect in my information, please can you clarify what your proposition is and how you can accommodate my comments.</p>