

## **REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED TO ELAC VARIOUS ROADS No 1 TRO 2023 (REDACTED)**

### **Derby Road (1 representation):**

I have one concern and that is the removal of the 2 parking bays north of Derby Road. This area is very tight for residents parking so removing any spaces is not a decision to be taken lightly. As this is close to the junction of Southampton Road the speed of the traffic along Derby Road is not great. Traffic coming out of Market Street does edge out slowly. I'm not aware of a large number of accidents unlike the junctions of Tennyson Road. While I fully appreciate the road safety aspect I question if 2 spaces need to be removed and if better sighting can't be achieved by just removing 1?

### **Falcon Square (2 representations):**

1. I support these proposals and would like to see additional restrictions in Wren Road (received verbally by phone).

2. I received a letting informing me that the council is removing parking from outside of my house (in Falcon Square) I would like to object to this on the grounds of it affecting my family life.

We do not have anywhere else to park, or have our family members park. Some of which have very young children. With the amount of corners being taken away on this square, there will be no chance for other spots near our house to be available as everyone on the square will cram into those spots. There will literally not be enough parking left on the square to accommodate the amount of properties located here.

It would be unreasonable to have residents of this area find other parking spaces, as this is only going to cause the same problems on other squares/locations.

And what of families? It is unreasonable to think that families with young children have to leave their young ones unattended whilst they get things from the car which is now parked far from the house they are aiming towards, in order to get the children from the car, along with any shopping etc they might have. Whatever their reasons for being out in the car, it might not be practical to have 2 or more children under arm, with buggies, shopping etc. So the only way to do it would be to do separate runs. Therefore having to do multiple trips. Therefore not safe for the children.

The people who live in these houses, we moved in knowing that cars could be parked here. To take this away changes the accessibility the residents had when choosing to pay and move it. We do not necessarily have the money to up and move.

I understand that there is a problem regarding the bin trucks getting through the square which is what has spurred this on. But there needs to be an alternative that can be put in place that doesn't negatively impact all the lives of the people in the houses you have named.

A tracker app for the bin men so we could see when they will be here and then move the cars if necessary.

A permit for only the people who live in those houses so you know its not just random people parking there. Then requesting those people move their cars on bin day (possibly using said app suggested). That way if they don't move them for that short time, you know who the car belongs to.

Have the bin men walk to get the bins! its only a few steps to walk the square, they could easily walk out and grab the bins and walk them back to the truck if its a busy part day that day. I mean, they get paid for the job after all. It shouldn't mine and the other residents lives affected, our homes altered,

which is what not having a parking space does to a house, just to stop the men and women who are getting paid to do a job walking a few extra steps.

When looking for a property to live in, parking is one of the top things people look for. To take this away with no alternate solution for the residents, is completely unacceptable and inconsiderate. Please find another way.

### **Crestwood View (17 representations)**

**1.** I am writing to object to elements of the proposed waiting restrictions laid out on your website and which I have received through the post today. I am the resident of Crestwood View and the proposed no waiting at any time restriction would include the area of road directly outside my house. We routinely use this area to park a car and if we were unable to continue to do so it would make life very difficult for us for a number of reasons.

Although we do have off road parking in the form of a car port this is only really big enough for one car and my job and that of my wife (a doctor and nurse in the emergency department at Southampton General Hospital) mean that we are frequently working overlapping shifts so need to have two cars. Moreover we have two very young children and the width of the car port means it is impossible to fully open a cars doors and therefore extremely difficult to get very young children in and out of car seats safely. Therefore we routinely use the space in front of our house to park a second car and to enable us to get children in and out of it.

Restricting the parking outside our house will put significant restrictions upon my family specifically and I fail to see what advantage it would have since a single car outside our house does not cause anybody else inconvenience. Nobody ever parks on the opposite side of the road since doing so would block a driveway so a car parked outside number 11 does not cause an obstruction or any risks to safety. I hope that you will reconsider.

**2.** I am resident at Crestwood View. We do not have enough parking as it is. If you implement this plan it will be chaos and worse than it is now !  
If this plan is imposed where are we supposed to park? This estate is already terrible for parking, maximum profit squeezed 80 properties onto a postage stamp sized area with not enough parking. Are we supposed to park on Woodside avenue ?  
Disagree strongly with your proposal.

**3.** Myself and my flat mate are against this proposed waiting restriction as it will prevent us being able to park our vehicles by our flat in crestwood view. We currently have 2 vehicles which are both in constant use and only one car parking spot. If your proposed plan goes ahead there will be no parking space available for us to use. Most flat and homes in the area have 2 or more vehicles and most flats only have 1 car parking space. This means there is not enough parking as it is and you will be preventing us from having reasonable parking for our home. May I suggest instead creating a no parking without permit zone or creating more parking spaces within the vicinity for us to use?

**4.** I am against this order due to the number of properties in the road that require on road parking. However I recognise that when there are cars parked to the sides of no.9 and no.53 it creates a bit of a pinch point, particularly for the refuse truck. Therefore I would like to suggest an alternative as per

the attached edit to your original plan (allowing parking next to no.9 and no.11. I believe this would be an acceptable compromise to both the residents and the refuse collectors. Alternatively time restricted no waiting zones could work, where parking in those areas could be restricted during week days but unrestricted from 4pm to allow residents coming home from work to park.

I'm keen to attend the meeting where this is discussed, therefore please let me know when this will occur.

**5.** I would like to express my concern, and object to, the plan to implement "No waiting" restrictions, in Crestwood View. My objections are explained below.

The area that runs from number 11, to number 29 Crestwood View, has never caused any issues to and vehicular access. There are regular arrivals of scaffold lorries, builders merchants, and white good delivery vehicles. None of which have ever had any difficulties with access or egress. I have lived in Crestwood View for 7 years, and work from home. So I am aware of most vehicular traffic throughout the day.

There is currently insufficient parking within Crestwood View for the amount of cars within the area. Each property is allocated one space, however some properties have up to 5 cars. This issue has caused conflict between residents.

I was informed, that upon planning the estate, the landowner opted to install a playground, which reduced the number of parking spaces requires to meet planning criteria. The playground is a circular fence surrounding some grass, nothing more. However, this is merely hearsay and perhaps could be looked into further.

With the current cost of living crisis, more families are staying in the family home for longer, meaning adult children inevitably pass driving tests and buy cars. This leads to more cars per home than when the estate was planned.

The proposed parking restrictions will remove the ability to park for up to 13 vehicles. This will further increase the risk of conflict within the community, condense the parking in the entrance and ends of the roads, and lead to more dangerous and/or inconsiderate parking. This increases the risk of difficulty getting emergency vehicles and HGV deliveries, bin lorries etc, gaining access to the roads.

There are already many occasions where vehicles are left with no option but to be parked in neighbouring estates on the other side of Woodside Avenue. This is I'll received by residents of these estates, and increases pedestrians crossing Woodside Avenue during peak traffic times. There have been many injuries and some deaths on this stretch of road, due to collisions with pedestrians and cyclists. Woodside Avenue is direct access to Eastleigh industrial estates from the Motorway, therefore is dense with HGV traffic. The possibility of endangering lives should be a major consideration when pushing parking into neighbouring estates.

These parking restriction plans have already had an effect on property sales within the estate. Potential buyers have cited the restrictions as reason for not making offers on properties within Crestwood View, as parking is already a concern. This reduces the interest in property in the area, therefore reducing the value of homes.

Reducing parking space will cause more inconsiderate parking as stated above. There are currently issues with badly parked vehicles causing pedestrians to walk into the road as pavements are blocked off. This will be compounded by the reduction in areas where vehicles can park.

Some of the proposed areas cross the ends of homeowners driveways, which cannot be parked on. Some other areas are around cars which are parked entirely off the road, meaning the restrictions only purpose will be to reduce the parking spaces within the estate.

Within the Statement of Reasons, it is stated that, 'The proposals have due regard to the requirements of S122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, by securing the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on the highway. Is it expeditious, convenient and safe, to force residents to park on the other side of a busy 40mph road, carrying HGV traffic, thereby creating more pedestrian traffic crossing this road at peak times, increasing risk to life?

Is the proposal taking into account the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities by removing spaces for up to 13 vehicles?

I would like to object to the proposed waiting restrictions based on the above points. There is currently reasonable access to premises. The proposed restrictions if accepted and implemented will cause unnecessary disruption to the community, including traffic, risk to life, access and egress, parking and property value.

I would like to be informed that this objection has been received by the correct department for consideration. If this objection is not received by the correct department, or has been received in an incorrect manner, please inform at your earliest convenience so that I may correct any issues.

I am happy to discuss this matter further with a representative of the council if required. Am I permitted to view the risk assessment relevant to this proposal?

**6.** This letter is to **object** to the proposed "No waiting at any time", specifically:

Crestwood View (main)- From a point adjacent to the common boundary of Nos. 8 & 9 Crestwood View south- eastwards to a point adjacent to the common boundary of Nos. 53 & 54 Crestwood View. The road on this side is wider along the proposed line due to a protruding non-driving area (Bush along the pavement), for that reason parking on this side is not affecting access.

I propose to **go ahead** only with the line on the opposite side - Crestwood View (North-east spur)- From the junction with Crestwood View (main) north-eastwards to a point adjacent to the rear building lines of Nos. 9 & 53 Crestwood View. By only introducing a "No waiting at any time" line on the right side of the road will ensure access is sufficient without impacting the available parking space. Please see an image showing in green the supported No Waiting zone and in red the Opposed.



**7.** We are writing to express our concerns at the plans to place no stopping lines in Crestwood View.

The estate is poorly designed with inadequate parking for residents, let alone visitors and these proposed plans will only make the situation much worse. We have regular visits from a nurse to provide important live saving care to our son at home and she often parks where the proposed restrictions will be. Without these areas she would be forced to park much further away and carry the equipment and medication longer distances.

The original design for the estate should have not been approved with the current parking allocation and we don't see why current residents should be punished for this lack of foresight.

**8.** I live in Crestwood view and I was very surprised when a neighbour alerted me to the fact that the local council are planning to put yellow lines around my own driveway without our knowledge. This is unacceptable. We have received several letters about a no waiting restriction, but nothing about these yellow lines.

I would like to oppose these lines as they will cause fighting and competition for the limited spaces that are currently present, as well as a lack of parking for visitors. I honestly believe the onus for this problem is on the council, who accepted the plans for this estate and its lack of parking less than 20 years ago. The parking is already minimal and these restrictions will only punish those who don't have private driveways like myself. This is not fair. The buildings are in fact illegal as law requires 14m between front windows between one property and another. Residents should not be punished for bad planning. I hope the council reconsiders

9. I have recently received a letter regarding about parking being restricted due to double lines being introduced. I'm writing today with a complaint due to parking being restricted as it is. This cul-de-sac only has one allocated space and very limited visitor parking space. There are two cars or more to every household. Parking has never been an issue. With regards to the lines being introduced it will be I'm possible to park especially when I have a baby to get in and out of my apartment.

Also I'm not sure where myself and other residents are meant to park? As I say it's never been an issue and all residents respect each other. Why have the lines been introduced? Especially when we're not a main road or centre of Eastleigh. I'll look forward to hearing from you soon.

10. I am writing regarding the above which we are extremely dismayed to discover is still under consideration. Whilst it is positive to see that the original utterly ridiculous proposals have been significantly reduced, we do not agree that any waiting restrictions are required **anywhere** in Crestwood View, especially opposite to and in front of our property!

As stated during the previous consultation, we have lived in Crestwood View for 15 years and we have **never** been subject to a delivery that couldn't get to us, no matter how big the delivery vehicle has been or when it has been due. We've also had a weekly food shopping delivery by Sainsbury's every Sunday morning for a number of years which has also **never** failed to arrive on time. The delivery lorry always parks outside our property without issue. In addition, our bins have only not been collected on our bin day about 4 or 5 times in that whole period.

We would now like to comment on the two current waiting restrictions areas individually; 1) The proposed waiting restrictions at the pinch point adjacent to Nos. 9 and 53

Whilst we are aware that parked vehicles adjacent to the pinch point may be in the way of large vehicles such as the larger refuse lorries (the smaller one has no issues) and the odd large delivery lorry turning left, however this occurrence is **very rare**. We obviously know that the refuse lorry was unable to collect our bin just after Xmas (the first time in a *very* long time!), but this was **only** due to the fact that it was Xmas and many more residents were at home on that particular bin collection day than are ever at home during our normal bin collection day on Thursday mornings **when Crestwood View is completely clear!** Has anyone from EBC or the Councillors viewed things first hand on our normal bin collection day? Vehicles parked at the build out blocking the larger refuse vehicle (and/or delivery lorries) possibly happens a couple of times a year which certainly **does not justify the need for waiting restrictions**. Should anyone that does not live in Crestwood View tell you this scenario is a regular occurrence, they are not correct and they're passing on false information. Vehicles parked here have never caused us any issues and we drive through it dozens of times every week!

2) The proposed waiting restrictions on the corners of the spur opposite our property and those directly in front of our property

The previous waiting restriction proposal had a 'blanket' coverage of Crestwood View, but it left a stretch in front of my property clear. At the time I commented that that leaving this gap would cause residents and visitors to park in front of our property more frequently and in doing so would make turning around in the turning area more difficult. **This comment was only designed to exacerbate the thoughtlessness of the original waiting restriction proposal, it was NOT to indicate that we want waiting restrictions in front of our property or that any are required. Waiting restrictions in front of our property have zero justification in this revised proposal as there will still be plenty of space for residents/visitors to park elsewhere. The previous 'blanket' waiting restrictions which would've caused this issue have now been removed.** Why has this not been realised? Vehicles currently very rarely park in front of our property and those that do are generally delivery vans/vehicles and they're only there for a couple of minutes at a time. This **does not** justify having restrictions imposed. To be clear, **WE DO NOT WANT WAITING RESTRICTIONS IN FRONT OF OUR PROPERTY.** They are completely unnecessary and pointless, so we would be grateful if they were removed from the proposals.

Similarly, the restrictions proposed on the corners of the spur opposite our property are also **completely unnecessary.** Yes residents may park on the corners when there isn't anywhere else to park, however these vehicles **do not** cause any obstruction and there is still plenty of room for all turning movements. We know this to be true as they happen directly outside our living and family room windows and we've never seen any vehicle that cannot turn in or out of the spur or cannot turn around in the turning head. These corners are clear the majority of the time **therefore these waiting restrictions are also unnecessary and should be removed.**

It could be argued that the proposed restrictions by the pinch point and on the corners of the spur will increase visibility thus making both corners safer. However, it **must** be remembered that both of these locations are existing corners therefore vehicular speeds are already very low. The installation of restrictions as proposed will 'open up' all these corners which is likely to give drivers increased confidence and have the opposite effect and speeds will increase which will make Crestwood View less safe. Especially by delivery vans etc. How many accidents or incidents of collision have occurred at these locations since Crestwood View was built? We're not aware of any at all in the 15 years we've lived here. Therefore this does **not** add any weight to waiting restrictions.

In summary, we wish to object to **ALL** of the current waiting restriction proposals in Crestwood View. The whole proposal is **completely unnecessary and unwanted.**

To finish, I am aware that the TRO responsibility (amongst others) is moving back in-house to Hampshire County Council on April 1st. Therefore I'm sure EBC are keen to implement as much of their current TRO programme as possible prior to this date. However, this most definitely must not be used as any kind of leverage to implement as many TRO's etc. as possible prior to this date. It feels like Crestwood

View (among other roads) is being pushed forward for no other particular reason than this. I have also spoken to our MP about these proposals, and he will no doubt be contacting EBC and possibly HCC in the near future if not already done so.

Should the Borough Council continue to pursue completely unnecessary waiting restrictions in Crestwood View, we will take the matter further. Crestwood View is a quiet road cul-de-sac that **DOES NOT REQUIRE** waiting restrictions of any kind in any location!

I would be very happy to discuss any of the above, however the last time I requested meeting the Councillors who are in favour of these unjustifiable proposals they completely refused any kind of meeting and also refused to call me... I suggest this is because they know waiting restrictions in Crestwood View are not justifiable and that nobody that lives here wants them.

Lastly, I'm also aware that the Borough Council did not post a letter through every residents door and only the residents 'directly affected' received a letter. This is **not** the full and proper re-consultation which was promised. We look forward to hearing these ridiculous proposals have been dropped.

**11.** Just to let your office know that we are very pleased that this new parking restrictions will be implemented at last. It is very upsetting that some residents are inconsiderate when parking their car especially if there will be an ambulance going in.

Could we also suggest if you can please start the yellow line on the entrance of Crestwood view because they are double parking and it's not only a small car but also big vans. Hoping this implementation to be implemented as soon as possible.

**12.** I am a resident in crestwood view and have been recently informed of the notice of intended parking restrictions due to be brought in.

I wholly disagree with these planned restrictions and having been a resident for 5 years, I have never experienced problems with the parking nor have I witnessed people parking disrespectfully or disruptively.

This with impact me massively due to family and my partner now being unable to park near me when visiting.

This is not to mention the difficulty that will occur for our elderly residents having visitors/ careers and residents who have small children.

Ultimately, why wasn't the parking at these apartments taken into consideration by the council when they were built, as now with zero visitors spots in place, it leaves no alternative options.

I hope you reconsider.

**13.** Re your intentions to put double yellow lines along portions of Crestwood View. My husband and myself have lived in Crestwood View for nearly 15 yrs when the site was newly built! Under the covenant work vans were not permitted to park in the close, over the years more and more are being parked here, thus cutting down the number of available parking spaces for cars! This has resulted in some unbelievable parking from some residents!!



I totally agree something needs to be done, as on occasions I doubt emergency services would be able to get through to the lower end of the close!! I agree that double yellow lines need to be put at the first turn (to the left) by properties 8,9 & 53 54 as this is where the bottle neck occurs, and emergency services would struggle, not sure putting the lines on the next turn would help, there is a lot of cars here and there would be nowhere for the residents to park! If somehow work vehicles could be banned from parking in the close this would definitely free up a few parking spaces!

**14.** I would like to object to parts of the proposed Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) for Eastleigh Town Centre (Amendment No. 1, Order 2023), as described [here](#), specifically as it relates to Crestwood View. The proposed TRO would involve the introduction of 'no waiting at any time' restrictions (double yellow lines) along segments of the main stretch of Crestwood View and its North-east spur.

I am aware that the reasons given by the council for the proposals include reducing danger to road users and ensuring space for vehicular access. However, I do not believe these are valid reasons given the current context, and indeed would make matters worse. This is because:

The road is already sufficiently safe. Given the road layout and low traffic speeds, vehicles using Crestwood View pose negligible danger to other road users. I write this even as a resident who is a parent with a young family.

There have not, to my knowledge, been any recent issues with vehicular access. The local roads cannot be described as wide, but there is nevertheless still ample space for vehicles to pass - this includes emergency vehicles and goods lorries, as well as cars.

Most importantly, the proposed parking restrictions would cause difficulties for those residents for whom on-road parking is a necessity. Additional restrictions would increase competition for parking, displace cars into less desirable locations (such as onto pavements, particularly from cars protruding from overfull driveways), and thereby cause frustration and practical difficulties for residents, visitors, and pedestrians.

In short, the proposed introduction of double yellow lines on Crestwood View represents a solution to a problem that does not exist. The effect would be to:

- Negatively impact local residents, and result in unnecessary council expenditure - at a time when the council must be facing considerable budgetary pressures.

I strongly urge Eastleigh Borough Council to reconsider the proposed TRO as it relates to Crestwood View, and cancel the intended introduction of parking restrictions. Thank you for taking this viewpoint into consideration.

**15.** As a resident of Crestwood View I am concerned to discover that there are proposed new parking restrictions in this area. There is a complete lack of visitor parking within Crestwood View and as much as I appreciate that the current situation poses a risk to residents with cars blocking access for emergency vehicles, I feel that if the borough council decides to inflict the proposed restrictions, then they should at least make an alternative parking area available for visitors. It appears that most properties in the close have extremely limited parking with their properties and it is therefore not at all practical to reduce this even further. Because of this I would like to register my opposition to the proposals.

I would however, like to suggest that the area towards the far end of Crestwood View, which is currently waste woodland and a ridiculous play park area (that only gets used by youth drinking in the summer and leaving empty alcohol bottles) could be put to so much better use by clearing this area and making it a visitors car park for Crestwood View. Access could easily be made from the road in Crestwood View. I am sure other residents would be interested in this idea as parking is

always a problem and if everyone was willing to contribute to the cost or pay visitor parking permits to fund this then the issue could be resolved and the restrictions where you have suggested put in place.

**16.** As a resident of Crestwood View I strongly oppose the plans to limit the parking at Crestwood View, whilst I understand the need to control parking which should have been considered when planning permission was granted, given most people have two cars but only one car parking space allocated to each property it's not surprising cars park along the road. The Crestwood View development has never had any issues over the years since it's construction with parking and all residents are considerate of each, and I see no difference in the way residents park to that of any other street or road where there is on road parking

The council have not come up with any alternative parking which will mean residents with a second car will move the problem to another area thus causing parking issues in an area which no doubt had no problems. I would ask the council to reconsider their plans to introduce limited parking and consider that fact most households have two cars and the impact on other residents in other areas if they have to find alternative parking. I do object to very large commercial vans being parked along the road and perhaps that can be controlled to some degree.

**17.** I see that you are once again proposing yellow lines in Crestwood View, despite previous rejections. I appreciate that it is a smaller area this time, but this still begs the question of where people will park who normally park in those spaces. As I mentioned previously, the only people who park in Crestwood View are residents and people visiting residents. There is no other parking close by. I would again request that this is not implemented, but if it is then other parking would have to be made available. There is an unused playground in Crestwood View which I'm sure could be made into residents and visitors car parking spaces.

